

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

09/26/2007

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,074	11/19/2003	Peter Dean Swartz	GENSP052	1073
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 09/26/2007 YER LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 70250			RICHER, AARON M	
OAKLAND, CA	A 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/707,074	SWARTZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Aaron M. Richer	2628		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 16(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•		
Disposition of Claims	•			
4)	rn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath of the correction of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey on is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/707,074

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 7-15, 18-25, and 29-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-4, 8-15, 19-25, and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman (U.S. Publication 2002/0135696) in view of Shigeta (U.S. Publication 2002/0089518).
- 5. As to claims 1, 12, and 23, Perlman discloses a video processor for providing a single synchronized video stream having a single display video format to a first display

Art Unit: 2628

device having a first set of display attributes from a number of input video streams of different video formats, comprising:

a number of ports each of which is configured to receive one of the input video streams at a corresponding input video stream clock rate (fig. 2; p. 2, section 0020; multiple communication modules for receiving data are disclosed; these read on ports);

a number of configurable image converter units each coupled to an associated one of the ports for converting the corresponding input video stream to a corresponding converted video stream having the single display video format that is based upon the set of display attributes (fig. 3a; fig. 3b; the units are configurable based on input format and attributes of a display);

and a system controller unit in communication with each of the configurable image converter units arranged to configure the image converter units in real time, wherein each of the configured image converter units convert the corresponding input video signal to the corresponding converted video stream having the single display video format (fig. 3a-3b; conversion is reconfigured if an interlaced display is replaced with a progressive one or vice versa).

Perlman does not disclose a frame rate conversion unit configured to synchronize each converted data stream to a selected output frame rate. Shigeta, however, discloses a system which uses DDC or EDID to determine a display's attributes and then synchronizes streams to a given frame rate (p. 6, sections 0090-0094). The motivation for this is to be able to display computer content on TV monitors and TV content on computer monitors (p. 1, section 0005-0006). It would have been

Art Unit: 2628

obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Perlman to synchronize converted data streams to a selected output frame rate in order to efficiently convert content for a TV or PC monitor as taught by Shigeta.

Page 4

- 6. As to claims 2, 13, and 34, Perlman discloses a configurable real time video processor wherein when a second display unit having a second set of display attributes replaces the first display unit, then the system controller uses the second set of display attributes received from the second display unit to reconfigure the configurable image converter units and the configurable frame rate conversion unit accordingly (fig. 3a-3b; conversion is reconfigured if an interlaced display is replaced with a progressive one or vice versa).
- 7. As to claims 3, 14, and 25, Perlman discloses a processor comprising:
 an image compositor unit arranged to combine the converted data streams to
 form a composited data stream (fig. 3a, element 312);

an image enhancer unit arranged to enhance the composited data stream to form an enhanced data stream (p. 2-3; section 0026; an anti-aliased composited stream reads on an "enhanced" data stream);

a display unit interface arranged process the enhanced data stream to form the display data (fig. 3a, element 314);

and a memory unit bi-directionally coupled to each of the image converter units and the image compositor arranged to store selected portions of selected ones of the data streams from the image converter units and to provide the selected portions to the

Application/Control Number: 10/707,074 Page 5

Art Unit: 2628

image compositor as needed (fig. 2, element 216; p. 2-3; section 0026; buffers hold the foreground and background pixels to be combined).

8. As to claims 4, 15, and 24, Perlman discloses a processor wherein the output frame is locked to any of the input video data stream clock rates (fig. 3a, 3b; the frame is locked to either the interlaced or non-interlaced clock rate).

9. As to claims 8, 19, and 30, Perlman discloses

a video receiver port arranged to receive video data at a video clock rate (fig. 2, element 230);

a bi-directional network interface arranged to receive network data from network applications on a network, and transmit data to the network from the real time video processor at a network data clock rate (fig. 2, element 220; a clock rate for data transfer is inherent);

a user interface port arranged to receive user input commands at a user interface clock rate (p. 2, sections 0022-0025; a user interface is disclosed; also note "interactive" content in fig. 2).

10. As to claims 9, 20, and 31, neither Perlman nor Shigeta discloses a processor as an integrated circuit. Official notice has been taken of the fact that graphics processors on integrated circuits are well-known in the art (see MPEP 2144.03). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Perlman and Shigeta to use an integrated circuit in order to make the graphics processor smaller and reduce production costs.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,074 Page 6

Art Unit: 2628

11. As to claims 10, 21, and 32, Shigeta discloses basing conversion on a set of Extended Display Identification Data (EDID) attributes (p. 6, sections 0090-0093; EDID data is read and then used to convert parameters such as frame rate and resolution). The motivation for combination of Shigeta and Perlman can be found in the rejection to claim 1.

12. As to claims 11, 22, and 33, Perlman discloses

an interlacer unit arranged to interlace a progressive scan image when the display unit is an interlaced type display unit (p. 3, section 0028; the flicker filter formats a progressive image for an interlaced display);

and a progressive scan bypass unit arranged to bypass the interlacer when the display unit is a progressive scan type display unit (fig. 3a, no interlacer is used).

- 13. Claims 7, 18, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman in view of Shigeta and further in view of Naegle (U.S. Publication 2004/0012577).
- 14. As to claims 7, 18, and 29, neither Perlman nor Shigeta expressly discloses a processor wherein the display frame rate is a free running frame rate. Naegle, however, does disclose a video processor with a free running frame rate. The motivation for this is to provide a larger set of pixel clock frequencies for various formats (p. 1, paragraph 0014). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Perlman and Shigeta to use a free running frame rate in order to support more diverse formats as taught by Naegle.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2628

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M. Richer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMR 9/22/07

> KEE M. TUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER